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Abstract

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) are developing rapidly, but the deeper understanding of tourists’ attitudes towards AVs is still little explored in social sciences. Bearing this in mind, this study aims to identify the expected changes in tourism arising from the technology, and the openness towards AV-based tourism services. For this, an online data collection (n = 671) has been completed among Hungarian tourists. Prior to the data collection, a literature review was conducted to identify and categorise the changes expected from the spread of AVs. Based on the empirical results, tourists would be willing to give up control to the AVs in a foreign environment, and so to pay more attention to the surroundings. The majority of respondents would be also open to participating in AI-based city tours, especially those with the “Extraversion” and “Openness to Experiences” personality types, based on the Big Five Theory. The findings can serve as a basis for practitioners in preparing for the technology and for the further analysis of attitudes towards tourism-based AV services (e.g., modeling of technology acceptance).
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, one of the biggest issues of passenger transport is to find a balance between economic sustainability, environmental regulations, and even travelers’ satisfaction (Tromaras et al., 2018; Bagloee et al., 2016). Automation is one of the promising technologies of Industry 4.0 that can transform many industries, including tourism and passenger transport (Fagnant & Kockelman, 2015). According to optimistic (pre-pandemic) estimates, 27 million AVs are expected to be on the roads by 2030 in Europe, and 40% of passenger kilometers will be performed by AVs (PWC, 2018). Despite this radical improvement, there are several unanswered questions (legal – e.g., Glancy, 2015; moral and sectorial – e.g., Miskolczi et al.,
2021, De Sio, 2017; social – Bissell et al., 2020) around the technology. Most of the literature on AVs consider primarily the technical feasibility (Run & Xiao, 2018; Zhao et al., 2018) as well as the general advantages and disadvantages of spread (Nielsen & Haustein, 2018; Du & Zheng, 2021).

In our study, we especially concentrate on the attitudes towards the use of AV for tourism purposes. Our research aims to reveal how tourists with different consumer habits relate to AV-based tourism services that we have identified in the literature. In our empirical research, the correlation between the subjects’ personality type and attitude towards AVs has also been analyzed. There are only a few papers (e.g., Tussyadiah & Zach & Wang, 2017; Cohen & Hopkins, 2019) that analyze the impact of AVs on tourism which reinforces the relevance of our research objective. Findings revealed a generally positive attitude towards AV-based tourism services. According to respondents' assumptions, AVs would improve the tourism experience, as their use would allow for a more convenient way of visiting the destination and its attractions.

Our study is structured as follows: In Section 2, the basic definitions of AV technology and the results of previous research related to our research topic are discussed. The process and results of empirical research (Section 3) are interpreted along with three main topics (Section 4): tourism habits of subjects, attitudes towards AV-based tourism services, and the correlation between personality types and openness to the AV technology. In Section 5, we answer our research questions and make suggestions for the application of AVs in tourism.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

A significant part of transport is realized due to tourism motivations. Therefore, such disruptive innovations like automation in passenger transport might also affect tourism (Jászberényi & Munkácsy, 2018). Nowadays, the main objective of transport development initiatives is to reduce the number of accidents caused by human error, which currently accounts for 90% of road accidents (Menezes et al., 2017). Automation determines the replacement of processes by machines that previously required human intervention (Fagnant & Kockelman, 2015; Nikitas et al., 2017).
Automation is an incremental innovation in transport. To define the nature of this phenomena, the SAE\(^1\) (Society of Automotive) framework developed by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA\(^2\)) should be interpreted, which is structured as follows:

- **Level 0: “No Automation”:** Conventional way of using a vehicle without any automation.
- **Level 1: “Driver Assistance”:** Only the human driver controls the vehicle, but there are some supporting functions (e.g., cruise control).
- **Level 2: “Partial Automation”:** The human driver controls the vehicle, but advanced driving assistance systems (ADAS) (e.g., lane-centering, IPAS\(^3\)) are available.
- **Level 3: “Conditional Automation”:** The human driver is still responsible for controlling the vehicle, but the continuous monitoring of the environment is no longer required; artificial intelligence (AI) performs all driving operations. On the other hand, in the case of special traffic situations, human drivers must take back control over the machine. Currently, the most advanced vehicles achieve this level of automation (Honda company's new development – Sensing Elite Traffic Jam Pilot\(^4\)).
- **Level 4: “High Automation”:** The vehicle manages all driving functions and controls itself under certain conditions (e.g., adequate 5G coverage of the operating zone).
- **Level 5: “Full Automation”:** The vehicle possesses and maintains all driving functions completely (without zone restrictions).

**GENERAL FORECASTS AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF AUTOMATION**

The impacts of AVs from different aspects have been addressed by several researchers in recent years. Researchers primarily examine how the spread of AVs changes the *mobility patterns and space utilization in urban environment* (Bagloee et al., 2016; Madigan et al., 2017; Tokody & Mezey, 2017), the *role of car use in the future of passenger transport* (Zmud et al., 2013; Arbib & Seba, 2017; Lagadic, Verloes, & Louvet, 2019) and the *travel experience* (Prisecaru, 2016; Clements & Kockelman, 2017; Marletto, 2019; Syahrivar et al., 2021).


\(^2\) [https://www.nhtsa.gov/](https://www.nhtsa.gov/)

\(^3\) Intelligent Parking Assist System.

Altering mobility patterns

As technology evolves, travellers' mobility habits could change significantly. Studies addressed some remarkable benefits of automation like the increased usefulness of travel time (e.g., decreasing traveling time and widening of activities during mobility – Kyriakidis et al., 2015; Platt, 2017) and the environmental and economic benefits of automation (e.g., less energy consumption, lower travel costs – Bagloee, 2016). Research on urban and transport development (Freudendal-Pedersen et al., 2019; Schipper, 2020) emphasizes that, with the widespread use of AVs, urban traffic flows could improve, fewer parking spaces will be needed, thus reducing the environmental impact of the sector.

Research also suggests that the emergence of AVs may also widen the range of people who were previously unable to travel alone (e.g., without a driving licence, due to health problems, etc.). Sivak and Schoettle (2015) surveyed 1,500 people in the UK, Australia, and the United States. The most important findings are that 60% of the people involved in the research had a positive attitude towards technology (high willingness to try AVs). Platt’s (2017) research in Canada examined different aspects of AVs. Results proved that frequent travelers are more receptive and families with young children are the most distrustful (they consider it too risky to hand over the driving tasks to the machine). The analysis of the general impacts, such as socio-economic externalities (e.g., altering of consumer preferences, labor market reorganization), are currently the most important and unanswered issues around the technology.

Altering car usage and perception of the machine

Research on travel psychology and behavior suggest that driving a car represents the dominance of the person in a certain micro-community (e.g., family, friends) and enhances confidence (Urry, 2004). In contrast, at the level of full automation, these psychological benefits (e.g., driving experience, enjoying gear shifting, control the vehicle, etc.) might disappear. At SAE level 4-5, there will be no need for a driver’s license, which could also weaken the prestige of automobiles. Research highlights that constantly evolving automation makes car use simpler and more comfortable, which can guide travelers to this means of transport, i.e., the importance of other environment-conscious modes (e.g., public transport) might be decreased in the long run (Currie, 2018). One of the most important issues regarding AVs is road safety and data security. Although increased road safety is one of the major benefits of automation, research has shown (Xu et al., 2018; Liljamo et al., 2018) that there is noteworthy mistrust in fully
automated vehicles, primarily due to uncertainty and the lack of in-depth knowledge about the machine.

**Altering travel experience**

Another significant influencing factor can be the novelty of the driving experience. Pitcher (2011) highlights that the usage of AVs seems to be easy to learn, easy to operate, and does not require meaningful efforts. Other research stresses the negative impacts of self-driving cars on driver experience. It has been revealed that individuals who seek complex and intense sensory experiences, tend to drive at a higher average speed (Becker & Axhausen, 2017) and keep shorter tracking distance (Payre et al., 2014). Obviously, this cannot be provided by the usage of self-driving cars; the human driver becomes a passive observer at higher levels of automation (SAE Level 4-5). Individuals who are stick to intense driving experiences would be less likely to prefer a complete handover of driver’s responsibilities, as this would reduce the intense sensory experience they require (Gardner & Abraham, 2007). It is also worth pointing out that a self-driving car may enhance the sense of freedom by serving special mobility needs as a “moving living room, or office” and new activities on board.

**Table 1. General issues regarding autonomous vehicles (SAE Level 4-5)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altering mobility patterns</th>
<th>Altering car usage and AI-human interaction</th>
<th>Altering travel experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Widening of activities during mobility</td>
<td>1) Easier to use a car, extending functionality</td>
<td>1) Elimination of driving experience – or becoming a unique service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Extending segment, individuality</td>
<td>2) Cybersecurity, legal and ethical issues</td>
<td>2) Compensation of drivers – extending on-board services while traveling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Environmental benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Economic and social consequences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own editing based on the literature review

**Impacts of AVs on tourism services**

Although previous research analysing the impacts of AVs in tourism is limited, several possible consequences can be identified. During the transition period (on a lower level of automation – SAE Level 2-3), mobility opportunities may change (e.g., easier approaching of a more distant
destination with a car equipped with ADAS), but more radical tourism-related alterations can be predicted on the full level of automation. Based on this, we focus on exploring the potential effects of SAE level 4-5 automation.

The possible changes in the field of tourism are interpreted along with three main topics: tourism alterations that can be associated with the handover of driving tasks, the increasing accessibility, and the new (possible) applications of vehicles for tourism purposes.

**Handover of driving tasks during tourism-related travel**

At the level of full automation, the lack of the need for a driver’s license poses barriers for travellers who, due to their age or health constraints, would not be able to travel alone for tourism (Anderson et al., 2014). This consumer group becomes more independent and flexible in their mobility and could reduce their social isolation (IFMO, 2016; Koul & Eydgahi, 2018). Based on forecasts, the spread of AVs could increase travel demand by about 11% in the next decade (Sivak & Schoettle, 2015). Research also emphasize (Cohen & Hopkins, 2019) that passengers can embark on new activities while traveling (e.g., relaxation, admiring the environment) instead of driving. Decreasing travel time can also change travel mode preferences, making AVs more attractive than other modes of transport, such as rail transport or aviation. Door-to-door mobility can also reduce travel time compared to public transport, which may lead to a reduction in the use of public transport (IFMO, 2016). The use of AVs also offers an additional option for people who have a driving licence but are reluctant to drive to a foreign destination. When sitting in an AV, it is not necessary to be aware of the driving rules of the destination (e.g., left- and right-hand traffic), thus, the unknown environment will no longer be a limiting factor (Cohen & Hopkins, 2019).

**Increasing accessibility of destinations and attractions**

As a result of the optimized traffic realized by AVs, travel speed increases and travel time decreases, allowing tourists to travel longer distances in the same time interval (Bagloee et al., 2016). Due to the constant travel speed, route and travel time planning is more reliable and predictable (Kim et al., 2015). Tourists will also be able to reach more distant and previously little-visited attractions, giving AVs the opportunity to reach new destinations and attractions (Cohen & Hopkins, 2019). In the light of the expected changes, AVs can replace the role of conventional shuttle buses and taxi services, thus, completely repositioning the importance of the means of passenger transport (Bainbridge, 2018).
New (possible) applications of AVs for tourism purposes

With the spread of automation, new AV-based services might also emerge in tourism. There may be a need for using conventional vehicles (human-driven) if this is no longer possible in the destination visited. On the other hand, testing self-driving cars on SAE Level 4-5 in places where technology is not yet widespread can also appear as travel motivation (Ásványi et al., 2020). With the application of AVs, a new way of sightseeing (AutoTour) could be created (Bainbridge, 2018). This would work on a similar principle to hop on – hop off bus tours in cities but could also replace walking tours. AutoTour services might be more flexible since the route can be easily configured in real-time, along with tourists' preferences. At the same time, the service raises sustainability issues. Tourism habits, the behavior of tourists might be radically changed due to the emergence of AVs. Tourists – who were previously responsible for driving and monitoring the environment – can drink alcohol since they are released from the obligations. Evening tours and parties might become more attractive in urban spaces and decrease the responsible attitude of visitors (Bainbridge, 2018). In the early stages of diffusion, there may also be an increasing demand for test (experience) “driving” of AVs. Since the interior design of AVs can be modified, vehicles can offer new (tourism-related) services that might affect MICE tourism, hospitality, and hotel industry. Passengers in specially designed AVs can sleep while travelling, so passengers may not need to book accommodation as they might not have to stop for a rest during a long-distance trip (Cohen & Hopkins, 2019).

Table 2 Impacts of AVs on tourism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handover of driving tasks</th>
<th>Increasing accessibility</th>
<th>Possible applications of vehicles for tourism purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Extension of consumer group</td>
<td>1) Optimized traffic flow – better route and time management</td>
<td>1) Driving a car/try an AV as a tourism attraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Enhancing activities that can be carried out while traveling</td>
<td>2) Better accessibility of distant destinations and attractions by road transport (AVs)</td>
<td>2) AutoTour service with MI tour guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Increasing travel comfort, relieving stress arising from special travel conditions (e.g., right- or left-handed traffic)</td>
<td>3) Redefined passenger transport – decreasing role of conventional means of transport</td>
<td>3) Radically changing tourism behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4) Advanced interior design of AVs – moving hotel room, meeting room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own editing based on the literature review.

5 The umbrella term for business tourism: Meetings, Incentives, Conferences & Exhibitions.
Research gaps identified by the literature review

Based on the literature review, the following key findings and research gaps have been identified that determine the empirical phase of our research. The literature on the diffusion of AVs is extensive, based on which we have synthesized the general impacts into three main categories: Altering mobility patterns (1), Altering car usage and perception of the machine (2), and Altering travel experience (3). Nevertheless, sector-specific analyses are limited, especially the literature on tourism impacts. Based on the journal articles identified, a new framework of expected tourism impacts has been developed (see Table 2). No empirical research on the impact of self-driving cars on tourism has been found, nor did any other research consider factors other than traditional sociodemographic variables. This confirmed the relevance of our study and the application of the Big Five Personality Trait to extend the segmentation of tourists who are open to using AVs.

In the light of these, the empirical research investigates attitudes towards possible tourism-related AV applications identified in the literature: namely, the willingness to hand over the driving tasks in foreign environment to better observe the surrounding, the openness to use AVs for sightseeing, the intention to use AI-based tour guiding (AutoTour service), AVs for experience driving, and the openness to do new activities while travelling (instead of driving – relaxation, conduct meetings, etc.).

DATA AND METHODS

Data collection has been carried out online, between October-December 2020, and resulted in 671 responses. The number of subjects involved in the survey exceeds the expected size of exploratory marketing research (Malhotra, 2009) and so the outcomes can be approved and utilized for further analysis.

Based on the literature review, we have formulated three research questions (RQs):

− **RQ1:** How do tourists relate to the use of AVs at the level of full automation?
− **RQ2:** Which of the AV-based tourism services identified in the literature are attractive among tourists?
− **RQ3:** What personality types are open to AV-based tourism services?

Respondents from Hungary who regularly takes part in trips for tourism purposes were included in the analysis. Respondents had to associate with the pre-COVID19 period during the completion of the survey.
With our questions, the tourism and mobility habits, the personality type of the subjects based on the Big Five Personality Traits (Table 3) framework have been identified. The Big Five is one of the most important personality models in psychology, according to which subjects can be classified into five factor groups (Cobb-Clark & Schurer, 2012).

Extraversion (1) involves the free expression of impulses, and subjects in this category are characterized by assertiveness and dominance in social behaviour (Cobb-Clark & Schurer, 2012). The Conscientiousness (2) group includes those who are organized, self-disciplined and duty conscious. Agreeableness (3) is usually referred to as the ability to maintain relationships. Subjects of this category have high empathy and trust.

People in the Neuroticism (4) category are prone to unrealistic thinking, and less able to control their impulses (Komarraju et al., 2011). Based on this, they might experience a lot of stress, are anxious and more vulnerable. Subjects of the last category, the Openness to Experience (5) are characterised by creativity, out of box thinking, and openness to new ideas (De Raad, 2000).

Table 3. Main characteristics of Big Five Personalities based on Gosling et al. (2003) and Komarraju et al. (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Main characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>sociable, energized by social interactions, outgoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>organized, self-disciplined, duty conscious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>high empathy, altruist, high trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>experience a lot of stress, anxious, vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to Experience</td>
<td>curious, creative, out of the box behaviour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own editing.

A Likert scale ranging from 1 to 7 has been applied to explore attitudes towards AV-based tourism services. During the analysis, mean values above 4 were considered positive (i.e., represents openness to tourism-based services). In addition to the basic descriptive statistics (e.g., mean, standard deviation, mode, median), the Kruskal-Wallis test has been employed to identify significant differences among variables. The strength of the test was assessed based on Eta-squared test suggested by Tomczak and Tomczak (2014).
RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample

By gender, the sample is relatively balanced: of the 671 people surveyed, 56.3% are women and 43.3% are men. The sample consists of subjects of all age groups. The largest proportion (27%) is in the 18-29 age group, followed by the over-60 age group (25%). The 30-39 age group has a slightly lower proportion (21%), while the 40-49 age group is represented by 15% and the 50-59 age group by 12%. Most of the respondents live in the capital (40.2%) of Hungary, 29.4% in other cities, 17.4% in county seats, and 12.7% in villages.

Tourism-related consumer and mobility habits

Subjects’ tourism-related consumer habits have been analyzed in terms of travel frequency (1), way of organizing travel (individual travel or package tour) (2), travel motivations (most preferred tourism product) (3) and means of transport used to travel to (4) and from the destination (5).

1 Based on the results, 6.5% of the total sample make several trips a month or more per year. 27.6–27.6% of respondents travel for tourism purposes every six months or every year. In addition, a further 24.8% travel every few months.

2 Majority of respondents (80.2% of the total sample) organize their trips individually; package tours are not common among subjects.

3 In terms of motivation, the most popular tourism activities are recreation (26%), urban and cultural tourism (17%), wellness (15%) and VFR\(^6\) (visiting friends and relatives) (13%). The share of other tourism products (e.g., MICE, active tourism, festival tourism, niche elements) is below 10%.

4 Majority of tourists use their cars (68.2%), but airplanes (44.2%), trains (32.8%), and buses (27.7%) are also common ways to reach the destinations. A negligible proportion of tourists rent a car (6.2%) or use carpooling services (1.7%).

5 At the destination, the vast majority of subjects travel by car (64.9%), use public transport (50.9%) or approach attractions on foot (53.8%). Relatively few people rent a car (17.4%) or decide to use shared mobility services (e.g., carsharing) (2.3%), or micro-mobility vehicles (2.9%).

\(^6\) Visiting Friends and Relatives.
Attitudes towards tourism alterations based on AV use

Based on the attitudes towards AV-based tourism services, the following findings have been revealed:

Respondents were asked how much they would prefer to use self-driving cars to pay attention to the environment rather than driving. Based on the responses, there is a high openness towards AVs in this context (Mean: 4.45; Median: 5). Tourists also stated that they would be willing to give up control to the machine in a foreign environment (Mean: 4.52, Median: 6). However, there is also a sense of caution among tourists, as they are less open to leisure activities (e.g., sleeping, reading, etc.) while traveling in an AV (Mean: 3.55, Median: 3).

When asked whether tourists would use AVs for sightseeing, there was also a high proportion of positive responses (Mean: 4.51, Median: 5). The willingness to visit more distant destinations and to use AVs in a foreign environment also scores above 4.

Tourists would be open to a tourist service in which the machine (AI) would be the tour guide (AutoTour) (Mean: 4.64, Median: 5). The openness towards experience driving with AVs responses are particularly positive (Mean: 4.77, Median: 5).

The intention to use extended AV-based services (e.g., mobile meeting room – Mean: 4.21, Median: 5; interior for sleeping – Mean: 4.05, Median: 4) is slightly lower but above 4. Standard deviation values are below 2 in every cases. The most frequent element in every case is 5, which also indicates a high degree of openness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Correlation between travel frequency and possible application of AVs for tourism purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Monthly or often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to do sightseeing conducted by an AI-based tour guide (AutoTour).</td>
<td>4.73 (1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs that are suitable to conduct meetings.</td>
<td>4.21 (1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs which have an interior design for sleeping.</td>
<td>4.14 (1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness towards tourism services that include “driving” experience (test driving) with AVs.</td>
<td>5.04 (1.75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ***: p < 0.001; **: p < 0.01; *: p < 0.05
Source: Authors’ own editing based on empirical research.
Based on Kruskall-Wallis-test, significant correlations between travel frequency and the attitude towards AV-based tourism services have been revealed (Table 4). Among those who travel more frequently for tourism purposes, the openness to use AVs is significantly higher. The effect size based on Eta\(^2\) is low (below 0.06) in all cases.

**Big-Five personality traits and tourism preferences**

Respondents were classified into the personality types based on their self-assessment. The self-assessment was based on answering standard questions\(^7\) suggested by the Big Five Personality Test. Based on the results, respondents of “Extraversion” category typically stay more than 3 nights in the destination visited. No significant differences by gender compared to the total sample have been detected. By age, the 18–29 age group is found in higher proportion in this category (40%). A significantly higher proportion of subjects belong to this category who are interested in urban and cultural tourism.

The segment of “Agreeableness” has a higher share of longer trips (7-8 days), during which the demand for VFR tourism and active tourism products dominates. No significant difference by gender is observed compared to the overall sample. The proportion of age group 30–39 is slightly higher here (42%) than in the total sample.

The group of “Conscientiousness” is also made up of subjects who prefer shorter trips of 1–3 nights. By gender, men are in a higher proportion in this category. By age, no significant difference has been found. Among respondents of the category “Neuroticism”, trips of 3–4 days are the most common. In addition to VFR tourism, MICE tourism is also a popular travel motivation among them. No significant differences have been revealed by age and gender.

The highest proportion of subjects belonging to the “Openness to Experiences” prefer long trips (7–8 days). Female respondents make up a larger proportion of this group (66.6%). Among them, urban tourism, active tourism and visiting festivals are the most popular reasons for travelling.

Correlations between personality traits and attitude towards AV use for tourism purposes have been found (Table 5). Based on the test statistics, the attitudes of subjects within the category of “Extraversion” (A) are significantly more positive towards each alternative of tourism related AV usage. Results revealed that there is also a significant correlation between “Neuroticism” (D) personality and lower attractiveness of tourism related AV services. Among respondents of “Extraversion” (A) and “Agreeableness” (B) categories, the idea of experience

---

\(^7\) [https://bigfive-test.com/](https://bigfive-test.com/)
driving is the most attractive, whereas the same service is the least attractive among subjects who belong to the “Neuroticism” (D) category. It can be concluded that respondents of the “Conscientiousness” (C) category seems to be less open to using AVs for tourism purposes. Among tourists of “Openness to Experiences” category (E), the evaluation of each tourism-based alternative is significantly positive. In this category, the most attractive services are also the idea of test driving as well as sightseeing with AVs.

**Table 5.** Correlations between the attitude towards AV use for tourism purposes and personality traits based on Big Five theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs to pay more attention to the surroundings.</td>
<td>0.090*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.258***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to carry out additional activities (reading, entertainment, etc.) during traveling by AVs.</td>
<td>0.094*</td>
<td>0.090*</td>
<td>-0.095*</td>
<td>-0.107*</td>
<td>0.241***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs in special traffic situations (e.g., right-or left-hand traffic).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.191***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to use AVs while sightseeing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.273***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to visit more distant destinations when using AVs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.098*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.208***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to AV use in unfamiliar environments.</td>
<td>0.083*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.213***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to do sightseeing conducted by an AI-based tour guide (AutoTour).</td>
<td>0.198***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.243***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs that are suitable to conduct meetings.</td>
<td>0.137***</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.089*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.232***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to use AVs which have an interior design for sleeping.</td>
<td>0.133***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.188***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness towards tourism services that include “driving” experience (test driving) with AVs.</td>
<td>0.228***</td>
<td>-0.120**</td>
<td>-0.118**</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.279***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***: p<0.001; **: p<0.01; *: p<0.05. Abbreviation to the table: A – Extraversion, B – Agreeableness, C – Conscientiousness, D – Neuroticism, E – Openness to Experiences

Source: Authors’ own editing based on empirical research.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This research aimed to explore the potential impacts of SAE Level 4–5 autonomous vehicles in the field of tourism. As a result of the literature review, we have created three categories (handover of driving tasks, increasing accessibility of destinations, new (possible) applications of AVs for tourism purposes) that synthesize the potential tourism alterations resulting from the use of AVs. Empirical research has revealed the attitudes of 671 respondents towards AVs for tourism purposes.

Based on the results and in relation to the research questions (RQs), the following conclusions have been drawn:

RQ1: How do tourists relate to the use of AVs at the level of full automation?

Based on respondents' attitudes towards services, there is a generally positive (all mean values above 4) attitude towards the analysed applications of AVs in tourism.

RQ2: Which of the AV-based tourism services identified in the literature are attractive among tourists?

Based on the evaluations, the openness to use AVs for sightseeing and AI-based guided tours (AutoTour service) is particularly noteworthy. Tourists would also be open to use AVs while staying at the destination (e.g., for sightseeing). Subjects see an opportunity to use AVs to better observe the environment and to immerse themselves in the tourist experience instead of driving.

RQ3: What personality types are open to AV-based tourism services?

Higher openness can be detected among the 18-29 age group, who are taking longer trips (3–7 nights), and in the “Extraversion” and “Openness to Experiences” segment. This segment of tourists especially prefers urban and cultural tourism. It should be noted that the results show lower openness among subjects with other personality types (e.g., “Neuroticism”).

The main added value of our research is that we have explored the potential impacts of AVs on tourism, on which very few empirical studies and international publications have been done before. In addition to the demographic data, we also specified the attitudes of the respondents based on different personality types, which is also a unique approach in the social studies of AVs and can be useful for a better market segmentation in the tourism sector. Although our empirical research is not based on a representative sample, it proposes relevant inputs for further research on tourism development, as a significant proportion of respondents regularly participate in tourism trips and mainly organize their trips individually, thus we have explored the view of an important consumer segment.
The attitude analysis concerning AVs provides a basis for further empirical research in social sciences (e.g., modeling the technology acceptance of AVs in tourism, more detailed elaboration of AV-based tourism service elements) and help to prepare for the technology revolution for practitioners in tourism.
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